

Counterparty Challenges Forcing Renewables to Run Out of Energy

Sponsor Support and Structural Strength Provide a Cushion

Special Report

Liquidity Strain Stems from Payment Delays: State power utilities' (major power purchasers) insensitivity to projects' debt service commitments and delays in making payments - problems specific to conventional energy developers – are now plaguing renewable energy projects, says India Ratings and Research (Ind-Ra). The central government's initiative - Ujwal Discom Assurance Yojana (UDAY) - aims to lessen the cash flow strain on power distribution companies through the transfer of debt loads to states. However, before all states implement the scheme, the projects are compelled to tide over the elevated liquidity strain in the interim.

Dire Need to Nurture Capital Market Instruments: Although several renewable energy projects are embarking on capital market transactions, the counterparties' insensitivity to the projects would not only jeopardise the potential issuances that can help deepen the infra-debt markets, but also add a premium, which has become an accepted practice for renewable energy projects. Counterparties' timely payments are inevitable to nurture the nascent non-recourse capital market debt instruments.

Skewed Risk-Return Relationship: The financial weakness of distribution companies in general is a fact. Although their obligations towards power purchase are considered senior to their debt commitments, many counterparties delay payments beyond six months. The debt market factors this aspect in the pricing of these issuances needlessly skewing the risk-return relationship, especially at a time when the government has mega plans for renewable energy projects and is aiming at grid parity between thermal and renewable energy projects.

In many cases, the credit profile of renewable energy projects is constrained by the weak financial health of the counterparties rather than operational and supply related risks. The risks in these cases are manifested in the form of uncertainties relative to the timings of receipt of payments for power purchases more than the delays. Therefore, this uncertainty is factored into the pricing of infra bonds, causing a burden on the projects' cash flows. The agency believes this would not have been considered by bidders while quoting an aggressive tariff for bid based projects. In other words, the financial costs of counterparties are being subsidised using project cash flows which will cause a heavy damage to the capital markets in the longer run. It also strips the equity holders of their returns, resulting in a disincentive to embark on more such renewable energy projects.

Central Government's Initiatives Challenged: Any event of default on the capital market instruments or invocation of a security/credit enhancement would have an adverse impact on the federal government's hard work and effort in building and deepening infra bond markets. Such an untoward event not only will derail the efforts to diversify and derisk the predominant bank loan market; but also could hamper the government's efforts by vitiating spreads in the much-sensitive masala bond markets and the dollar bond borrowings by Indian companies. Infrastructure investment trusts and their capital raising plans could also take a beating.

On one hand, the government of India has been implementing various measures to build an effective infra-debt market. On the other hand, the energy distribution companies exhibit a callous attitude in honouring their obligations towards power purchase in a timely manner. Unless discoms understand the importance of timeliness, which is crucial for the survival of the bond market, the government's initiatives will remain challenged.

Related Research

[FY17 Outlook: Infrastructure and Project Finance](#)

[Market Wire: Grid Curtailment Contagion Puts Pressure on Credit Profiles of Renewable Energy Projects](#)

Analysts

Siva Subramanian
+91 44 4340 1704
siva.subramanian@indiaratings.co.in

Venkataraman Rajaraman
+91 44 4340 1702
r.venkataraman@indiaratings.co.in

Weakening Standalone Profile: Unpredictable counterparty behaviour will cause a strain on those project developers that have ventured into the uncharted territory of the bond market considering the receivable period of the counterparty at the time of issuance, along with an implicit assumption that the receivable days will remain stable. Although the bond structures and conventional bank loans feature a debt service reserve equivalent to three or six months of debt service obligations as a line of defence, the continued strained liquidity could dent projects' standalone credit profile.

During the agency's review of the ratings of renewable energy projects, it was observed that that the Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Limited has delayed payments to wind projects by about a year. Although the direct impact of delayed payments will be apparent on the projects' liquidity, the ultimate impact could be on the investors/lenders if the situation exacerbates. However, the payable days of other discoms remain static compared to the previous years.

Deceptive Historical Receivables Period: Given the reasonable historical payment record of Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company, many sponsors failed to anticipate a receivable period of over three to four months. The agency in its rating and stress cases assumes a long delay, however not the kind of delays being actually experienced. Notwithstanding projects' operational strength, debt service could be impaired on a prolonged delay in the payment period from state utilities, should the sponsors fail to support such projects. It is indeed an irony that the federal and state governments have been insisting on the importance of clean energy and placing more importance on market issuances through infrastructure debt funds and infrastructure investment trusts while the counterparty's behaviour is oblivious to and counterproductive of these developments. However, the agency believes that there would be an improvement in the liquidity of distribution companies after UDAY is fully in place.

Figure 1

Snapshot of Payable Days

Payable days	Utilities
Less than 30 days	Gujarat Discoms, Bangalore Electricity Supply Company, Chamundeswari Electricity Supply Company, Andhra Pradesh Discoms, NTPC Vidyut Vyapar Nigam Limited
30 days to 60 days	Hubli Electricity Supply Company Limited
60 days to 120 days	Haryana and Punjab Discoms
120 days to 240 days	Madhya Pradesh Discoms
More than 240 days	Rajasthan, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu Discoms

Source: Ind-Ra

State Utilities Payment Record - A Mixed Bag: It is noteworthy that in newly formed states such as Andhra Pradesh and Telangana, state utilities not only pay renewable energy projects on time but also claim the rebate delineated in power purchase agreements. Although the receivable period may vary, Tamil Nadu and Rajasthan state utilities' uneven payment records weigh heavily on the respective projects' risk profile. At FYE16, INR98.96bn worth of UDAY bonds were issued by several states. Ind-Ra expects the impact to be direct but may not be instantaneous, given the state governments' administrative process lags.

No Easy Solution in Hand: One temporary solution could be to prioritise payments for renewable energy projects; but, this does not have a strong rationale and may not help in the longer run. Whatever is the number of days of delay, at least defining these delays in terms of certainty and imposing a soft cap on the receivable days would go a long way towards achieving the government's focus in developing capital markets for infrastructure and in achieving the tall aim of capacity addition in respect of renewable energy projects.

Ind-Ra's rating experience suggests there is no easy solution to the current quandary of Maharashtra-based projects unless prudent practices such as a strong cash reserve or sponsor support are put in place for these projects. A line of working capital credit would certainly help in avoiding delays in debt service; but borrowing a debt to pay off another debt may not be a wise solution. Also, without a certainty regarding the number of months of delay, availing working capital is infructuous. Although a majority of power purchase agreements mentions a letter of credit as a backup for payment delays, it is rarely established by discoms. However, Uttar Pradesh utility in a few thermal power projects has entered into default escrow agreements wherein the revenues from a particular customer segment is escrowed to a default account which is marked to the power generators' escrow accounts. A similar structure in addition to the letter of credit has been proposed by Uttar Pradesh for several renewable projects. After the establishment of an escrow agreement, the receivable days of these projects have reduced substantially.

While the federal government has set ambitious targets in creating new capacities, the projects remain hostage to state utilities' behaviour. This could inflict reputational damages to state utilities that may be difficult to correct, with severe financial and economic consequences for the entire renewable projects ecosystem and the infra debt market. Before state utilities stray further and cause hard landing for renewable energy projects, there is a dire need for them to take a balanced step.

ALL CREDIT RATINGS ASSIGNED BY INDIA RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.INDIARATINGS.CO.IN/RATING-DEFINITIONS](https://www.indiaratings.co.in/rating-definitions). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEBSITE WWW.INDIARATINGS.CO.IN. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. INDIA RATINGS' CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE.

Copyright © 2016 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings, Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings, India Ratings and Research (Ind-Ra) relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Ind-Ra believes to be credible. Ind-Ra conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Ind-Ra's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Ind-Ra's ratings should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Ind-Ra relies on in connection with a rating will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Ind-Ra and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings Ind-Ra must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind. An Ind-Ra rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion is based on established criteria and methodologies that Ind-Ra is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings are the collective work product of Ind-Ra and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Ind-Ra is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Ind-Ra reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Ind-Ra report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Ind-Ra rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at anytime for any reason in the sole discretion of Ind-Ra. Ind-Ra does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Ind-Ra receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Ind-Ra will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Ind-Ra shall not constitute a consent by Ind-Ra to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Ind-Ra research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.